SPECIAL EDUCATION THESIS/PROJECT AND SEMINAR (EDME 531-01)
SUMMER / 2015

Professor: Janet DeRosa, D.Ed.  
Course Dates: May 17 to August 22, 2015  
Phone: 717-258-5389 (home)  
Office hours: Tuesday evenings or by appointment

Email: jderosa@messiah.edu

Faculty Availability via discussion page in Canvas, email, text or phone

Contact: I will be checking in on the course each day. I also look forward to communicating individually with students as needed.

If you have questions about the course (assignments, dates, expectations, etc.) please do not hesitate to email or call me at anytime. I am committed to responding to your need in 24 hours. However, if you have an urgent question, you may contact me on my cell phone (717) 486-8680.

I have scheduled office hours for Tuesday evenings on campus. Although, I am happy to talk on the phone, via the chat tool in Canvas or in whatever way may be most convenient.

Faculty Expectations of Students
Preparation: At the beginning of the course you are expected to read the entire course syllabus, familiarize yourself with the course calendar and practice navigating the content in each of the Canvas tabs used in this course. Most of the course material is presented through modules and assignments will be uploaded via Canvas.

Instructional Time: The primary mode of instruction for this course is guidance and formative feedback given by the instructor on the thesis or project proposal, all Drafts of the paper or project and on the final paper.

Non-instructional Time: Non-instructional time in this course includes the formulation a thesis hypothesis or project plan, the writing of the proposal, required research on the topic(s), conducting the research or completing the project, writing the Drafts, editing the Drafts and writing the final paper.

Asynchronous/Synchronous Learning: This course is primarily an experience of asynchronous learning, which means that you will work independently at your own pace within certain schedule constraints/limitations.

Announcements: Be sure to check Canvas regularly for announcements. Announcements are include important course information and in many cases are time sensitive. Any time I post an announcement I will also send an email notification for the announcement.
Course Description:
In addition to satisfactory completion, of course work, thesis or project will be a capstone experience for master's degree in Special Education. Students enrolled in the thesis/project will be enrolled in a concurrent seminar. The faculty member for this seminar will broadly guide the students’ research efforts and facilitate peer review, collaborative problem solving, and integration of program objectives into the students’ research questions, methods, and discussions. (Prerequisite: EDME 580, Educational Research Methods, and at least 24 EDME credits).

Curriculum Map
The fundamental educational task of Messiah College is to promote successful and meaningful learning and to help students attain the College-Wide Graduate Educational Objectives (CWGEOs), the Graduate Program in Education Program Objectives, and the objectives for each course in a student’s program.

The college and program objectives are measured through an assessment plan that allows the graduate faculty and college administrators to routinely evaluate and maintain the Graduate Program in Education’s effectiveness in meeting the required objectives. Student work samples are collected in accordance with the assessment plan and are anonymously evaluated using the assessment plan rubrics. You are invited and encouraged to read the objectives and assessment plan in the linked attachments.

THESIS OPTION: The thesis is an individual research paper that should meet the general requirements of a scientific publication. It allows for the treatment of empirical as well as purely theoretical or normative issues. The student will be fully responsible for deciding the topic/problem for discussion and method of investigation and for undertaking the research. The topic should lie within the area of concentration for those pursuing the master’s only. Research can involve empirical data that may take some time to gather, analyze and document.

The instructor for the course EDME 531 advises the thesis. The instructor and the student choose one or two additional readers from the Messiah College Ranked Faculty. For research involving human subjects, the student must get approval from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) prior to data gathering. Information about and forms for the IRB can be found at the following location: www.messiah.edu/academics/irb.

For studies that require full committee IRB approval, those studies involving children or adults with disabilities, the turnaround time for IRB approval is 30 days.

All students engaging in research with human participants must successfully complete the free online NIH research training program that leads to a certificate that you will download and then attach to the IRB Form 20 application. Here is the link to the Research Training Program. http://phrp.nihtraining.com/users/login.php

Course Objectives:
1. Identify a topic to examine within the field of Special Education.
2. Apply scientifically sound, thorough and precise thought to the topic for given period of time.
3. Complete the different stages in the research process.
4. Consider how one’s faith commitments inform choice of research topic, research methods, and discussion of findings.

**PROJECT OPTION:** The project should address a practical problem in the field of Special Education and present a solution to the problem. In contrast to an academic thesis, the project may take the form of a curriculum plan for a specific course, a book or manual or an article about teaching techniques for a teaching publication.

The instructor for the course EDME 531 advises the project. The instructor and the student choose one or two additional readers from the Messiah College Ranked Faculty.

Research involving human subjects is not permissible as approval from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) prior to data gathering will not be possible during the summer semester.

**Course Objectives:**
1. Articulate a relevant problem to examine within the field of special education.
2. Conduct a literature review to illuminate the problem.
3. Apply research methods to collect data on the problem and its potential solutions.
4. Create a solution to the identified problem.
5. Consider how one’s faith commitments inform choice of research topic, research methods, and discussion of findings.

**Textbook and Other Course Materials:**

**Required**

Helpful APA Site: http://bcs.bedfordstmartins.com/resdoc5e/RES5e_ch09_s1-0002.html

**Recommended**

**Course Requirements:**
The primary assignment in this course is the completion of the project or thesis paper. Within this broad task, students will be submitting the thesis or project proposal, multiple Drafts of each chapter, and communicating very frequently with the thesis/project instructor advisor. The instructor advisor and student should meet face to face or via real-time video chat, or by phone, several times throughout the semester.

Though a timeline for completing the various portions of the thesis is suggested below, it is ultimately the student’s responsibility to plan the thesis or project work, ensuring that it is completed at least two weeks prior to the end of the semester, allowing time for final review and presentation of findings. A formal presentation of the work completed is required with a project, but is also encouraged with a thesis.

**Writing the Thesis Proposal**
Deciding on your topic
1. Choose a topic of interest.
2. Begin your literature review (read articles on the topic). Investigate at least five sources. This should help you to narrow your focus.

3. Write your research questions. This is normally an overarching question and sub-questions.
   - Main research question:
     Do rubrics help students with specific learning disabilities perform better on content area summative assessments?
   - Sub-questions:
     - What are rubrics?
     - Are rubrics used by the majority teachers in content area subjects?
     - What types of rubrics are used?
     - Are rubrics used to support students with specific learning disabilities?

4. Choose the type of research that will answer the questions you have about rubrics.

5. However, human subjects will not be permitted to be a part of this thesis because it is not feasible to request Institutional Review Board approval during the summer semester. Therefore, data for the thesis must be evidenced based rather than derived from human participants.

6. Submit your THESIS PROPOSAL. This is a 3-4 page document outlining:
   - a. Your topic
   - b. Your research questions
   - c. A list outline of your methodology — the steps you plan to take in collecting and analyzing your research
   - d. The outcome you expect from your research — how you believe it will be useful to others
   - e. A listing of the 5 sources you have investigated.
   - f. Attachments: All complete documents for any information you will be soliciting (participant letters, questionnaires, interview questions, etc.)

7. Meet with your thesis advisor about your proposal. This can be via skype, or even via email, if face-to-face is not possible. The purpose of this meeting is to ensure that your proposed topic is viable, and to give you permission to move forward with your research.

8. When your thesis proposal has been accepted, begin to move forward with your research.

Writing the Project Proposal

Deciding on your topic
1. Choose a project of interest. A project might be a set of materials such as a student workbook or a collection of teacher resources, or broad educational plan such as a curriculum or an outline for a school program.
2. Begin your literature review (read articles on topics that will provide you with the necessary background to create your project). Investigate at least five sources. This should help you to narrow your project focus.
3. Describe your project in a paragraph.
Example:
I will create a parent and child social skills summer curriculum to be used with children in my community who have autism spectrum disorders.

I will write a manual teaching vocational educators how to write and use rubrics effectively.

4. List the components that you will submit as part of your project
   Example
   I will submit:
   • A student booklet with 25 summer activities that include adapted alternatives.
     Each lesson will be 2-4 pages in length.
   • A parent’s guide with step-by-step action plans for each of the 25 activities.
   • Tips for methodology in the preface of the teacher’s guide
   • A checklist for evaluating each activity and measuring progress.

5. Submit your PROJECT PROPOSAL. This is a 3-4 page document outlining:
   a. Your topic
   b. A paragraph outlining your project
   c. A list outline of the components of your project
   d. The outcome you expect from your project – how you believe it will be useful to others
   e. A listing of the 5 sources you have investigated.
   f. Attachments: All complete documents for any information you will be soliciting (participant letters, questionnaires, interview questions, etc.)

6. Meet with your thesis advisor about your project proposal. This can be via skype, or even via email, if face to face is not possible. The purpose of this meeting is to ensure that your proposed project is viable, and to give you permission to move forward with your literature review and project.

7. When your project proposal has been accepted, begin to move forward with your literature review.

Written Work:
It is important that your written work meet graduate level standards. Papers submitted digitally should meet the same criteria that hard copy papers must meet. Any paper you submit should look like you would want it to look if it were in printed form. Please follow these guidelines:
• A typical page of writing is 12 pt font, double-spaced, with one-inch margins. Only a full page of writing counts as a page.
• Use APA style for citations and referencing.
• All papers must have your name and the name of the assignment at the top, or on a title page.
• All papers should have titles.
• Longer papers normally require headings, following APA guidelines.
Grammar, spelling and punctuation are very important. Edit your paper well.
Lack of clarity in writing, lack of coherence in organization or reasoning, and redundancy which extends the paper beyond its substance will all result in a lower grade. Creating and following an outline when you write can help you avoid some of these problems.

Feedback on written work:
My feedback on your written work is designed to help you develop in your thinking and writing. For this development to take place, it is important for you to understand the difference between feedback and editing. Feedback alerts you to the kinds of errors you are making; editing would correct those errors. For example, if you have errors in punctuating plurals and possessives, feedback will tell you that you have errors in this area, and editing would correct those errors for you. As another example, if you have a sentence that is unclear, feedback will tell you that a sentence is unclear; editing would change the sentence for you to make it clearer. It is your responsibility to find and use the resources you need to improve your writing. I suggest the “Purdue Owl” website as an excellent writing resource, and have a link to this site on our Canvas course home page. You may also choose to use the Writing Center at Messiah College.

It is your responsibility to look carefully at your returned papers, make sure you can see my comments (you will find my comments on your corrected papers, in Canvas), and initiate dialogue with me if anything is unclear. I welcome student questions or comments following up on my comments on your papers!

Thesis Grading Guidelines:
The grading of a thesis is unlike the grading of other writing assignments, because typically the advisor works with the thesis student on multiple Drafts, with the aim of honing the thesis until the writing is exemplary. Because of this process, a grading rubric based on the final product can be problematic. A final exemplary product could be a result of a disproportionate amount of editing work done by the advisor. To ensure that the thesis is truly a student product, and that the grade awarded accurately reflects the student’s abilities, these perspectives and policies will guide the process:

1. The advisor’s main role is to comment on and guide the content of the thesis. Where language errors (grammar, spelling, punctuation and general structural clarity) are concerned, the advisor only marks errors as “grammar” or “edit” or in some cases “unclear”. The student is responsible for following up on this feedback, learning from it, and correcting errors. If the student is unable to make proper corrections based on this feedback, the student must find someone to work with him/her in editing the paper. The student may need to be prepared to pay for editing services. If this step is taken, the student is responsible for ensuring that he/she remains the source of all content, and that an outside editor is only addressing language errors.

2. The advisor can return any portion of writing to the student if 10 grammatical errors or problems with clarity have been identified, without reading or commenting further. This is an indication that the student needs to spend more time editing his/her own writing in its entirety before sending it to the advisor again.
3. The advisor likewise bears no responsibility for teaching thesis writers APA style. The advisor can merely tag a problem as “APA”, and the student bears the responsibility for learning what error has been made in APA style, and correcting it.

4. If more than five re-writes are required for significant portions of the thesis, whether for grammar, clarity, content or APA style, the maximum grade the student can receive is an A-. If the number of rewrites of any portion reaches 8, the maximum grade the student can receive is a B. If the number of re-writes exceeds 8, and a grade of B will not be possible, the student will be required to enroll in the thesis course for a second term, and also follow the Graduate Studies protocol for receiving help in improving writing.

5. These guidelines are intended to impress upon thesis writers the importance of careful and extended editing and writing, taking personal responsibility for their writing, and avoiding the temptation to view their thesis advisor as an editor of their work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drafts of Paper</th>
<th>40%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Final Paper</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>93-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-</td>
<td>90-92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+</td>
<td>87-89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>83-86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-</td>
<td>80-82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C+</td>
<td>77-79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>73-76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>72 or &lt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Course Policies:
Extra Credit- Extra credit points are not awarded in this course.

Late Assignments- All assignments are due by 11:59 p.m. on the due date assigned. A 10% deduction in the points available for each assignment will occur for each day an assignment is late (unexcused). Failure to complete any assignment by the end of the course could result in the student failing the whole course.

Returned Assignments- Assignments will be returned to the student for review of the assigned grade. The assignment will include comments from the professor and an indication of the basis for the grade assigned. If students have questions about the grade assigned it is up to the student to contact the professor and set up a time to discuss the grade. Students may also ask for clarification about a grade through email to the professor within three days of receiving the grade for any assignment. All assignments will be reviewed, graded, and grades posted in the grade book a maximum of one week after the due date.

Program Policies:
Academic Integrity- The Academic Integrity Policy for Graduate Students is found in the graduate student handbook. Primary responsibility for knowledge of and compliance with this policy rests with the student.

Americans with Disabilities Act - Any student whose disability falls within ADA guidelines should inform the instructor at the beginning of the semester of any special accommodations or equipment needs necessary to complete the requirements for this course. Students must register documentation with the Office of Disability Services. Contact DisabilityServices@messiah.edu, (717) 796-5382.
Library and Librarian Assistance - The Library is an obvious source of information for many of your class projects. Currently, Beth Mark is the specific library liaison assigned to the education discipline. Although any librarian is trained and prepared to assist you, Beth works specifically with education and is most familiar with the resources and databases that relate to this field. Do not hesitate to contact her if you are having trouble locating specific sources for your assignments, as she is more than willing to help you. For her specific work schedule, contact her directly at BMark@messiah.edu or by calling (717)796-1800, ext. 3590. Click here for resources and databases that relate to this field.

The Writing Center is available to any graduate student who has a desire to improve his/her writing. The role of the center is to provide feedback (not editing) on written work. Feedback alerts you to the kinds of errors you are making, lets you know when something is not clear, and suggests that you have not fully supported an argument. Feedback does NOT correct your grammatical errors, rewrite your sentences, or provide you with the specific points to support your argument. Ultimately, it is your responsibility to find and use the resources you need to improve your writing but connecting with our Writing Center, either online or face-to-face, is a good place to start.

Student technology recommendations are found on the Information Technology Services website. These guidelines have been put in place to best equip you to have an optimal technological experience in our online programs.

Technological support for students is available during the days and times listed on the Information Technology Services homepage. Students also have access to technical support (i.e. tutorials, help functions, etc.) through the College’s portal, MCSquare, and through the College’s Learning Management System.

Statement of Copyright Protection - “The materials in this Messiah College course are only for the use of students enrolled in this course for purposes associated with this course and may not be further disseminated.”

Statement of Confidentiality - “Students may be asked to post written work and to engage in written dialog with other class members within an LMS. The student should be aware that although confidentiality within the course environment is encouraged, it is possible that users in and outside the course may have access to course content.”

***COURSE RUBRICS ARE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW ON THE FOLLOWING 2 PAGES.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Above Average</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Needs Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Introduction</strong></td>
<td>Organization of the introduction is clearly articulated. A practical problem is introduced and a solution to the problem is evidenced. The purpose of the study and research questions are both clearly articulated. Assumptions and limitations are present. Definition of terms is included. 4-5 pts</td>
<td>Organization of the introduction is articulated. A practical problem is introduced and a solution to the problem is evidenced. The purpose of the study and research questions are both articulated. Assumptions and limitations are present. Definition of terms is included. 2-3 pts</td>
<td>Organization of the introduction is not articulated while a practical problem is vaguely addressed and a solution to the problem is somewhat evidenced. A faith commitment does not inform the choice of the topic, methods, or discussion of findings. 0-1 pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Score ___</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Literature Review</strong></td>
<td>The literature review is highly relevant to the subject area, presented accurately and completely. Theory is relevant and accurately described. Scientifically sound, thorough and precise thought is applied to the topic. At least 5 sources were investigated. 8–10 pts</td>
<td>The literature review is relevant to the subject area, presented accurately and completely. Theory is relevant and accurately described. Scientifically sound, fairly thorough and precise thought is applied to the topic. At least 5 sources were investigated. 5 – 7 pts</td>
<td>The literature review is not relevant to the subject area, nor presented accurately and completely. Theory is somewhat relevant and accurately described. Scientifically sound, thorough and precise thought is not applied to the topic. At least 5 sources were not investigated. 0 – 4 pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Score ___</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Methodology and Discussion</strong></td>
<td>Data collection and analysis is explained. The underlying logic is explicit pertaining to the use of either a quantitative or qualitative study. How the thesis will be useful in the field of special education is clearly articulated. A strong faith commitment informs the choice of topic, methods, and discussion of findings. 4-5 pts</td>
<td>Data collection and analysis is fairly explained. The underlying logic is somewhat explicit pertaining to the use of either a quantitative or qualitative study. How the thesis will be useful in the field of special education is fairly articulated. A faith commitment informs the choice of topic, methods, and discussion of findings. 2-3 pts</td>
<td>Data collection and analysis is not explained. The underlying logic is not explicit pertaining to the use of either a quantitative or qualitative study. How the thesis will be useful in the field of special education is not articulated. A faith commitment does not inform the choice of topic, methods, and discussion of findings. 0-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Score ___</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Writing</strong></td>
<td>The thesis is coherently organized and the logic is easy to follow. There are only a few spelling and grammatical errors. There are few errors in the APA formatting of the paper. Terminology is clearly defined. Writing is clear and concise and persuasive. 4-5 pts</td>
<td>The thesis is coherently organized and the logic is somewhat easy to follow. There are some spelling and grammatical errors. There are some errors in the APA formatting of the paper. Terminology is clearly defined. Writing is clear and concise and persuasive. 2-3 pts</td>
<td>The thesis is coherently organized and the logic is not somewhat easy to follow. There are a significant number of spelling and grammatical errors. There are a number of errors in the APA formatting of the paper. Terminology is fairly defined. Writing is somewhat clear and fairly concise and persuasive. 0-1 pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Score ___</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Special Education Thesis (EDME 531) Rubric**

Name: __________________ Date ______________ Score ______
### Graduate Program in Education

#### Chapter 1 Introduction
- **Score ___**
  - **Organization of the introduction** is clearly articulated. A practical problem is introduced and a solution to the problem is evidenced. A strong faith commitment informs the choice of topic, methods, and discussion of findings.
  - 4-5 pts

#### Chapter 2 Literature Review
- **Score ___**
  - The literature review is highly relevant to the subject area, presented accurately and completely. Theory is relevant and accurately described. Scientifically sound, thorough and precise thought is applied to the topic. At least 5 sources were investigated.
  - 8–10 pts

#### Chapter 3 Project
- **Score ___**
  - The project is clearly presented in the form of a curriculum plan, book, manual or an article. The underlying logic is explicit. How the project will be useful in the field of special education is clearly articulated.
  - 4-5 pts

#### Writing
- **Score ___**
  - The project is coherently organized and the logic is easy to follow. There are only a few spelling and grammatical errors. There are few errors in the APA formatting of the paper. Terminology is clearly defined. Writing is clear and concise and persuasive.
  - 4-5 pts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Above Average</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Needs Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 1</td>
<td>Organization of the introduction is clearly articulated. A practical problem is introduced and a solution to the problem is evidenced. A strong faith commitment informs the choice of topic, methods, and discussion of findings.</td>
<td>Organization of the introduction is fairly articulated. A practical problem is addressed and a solution to the problem is evidenced. Also, a fairly strong faith commitment informs the choice of topic, methods, and discussion of findings. 2-3 pts</td>
<td>Organization of the introduction is not articulated while a practical problem is vaguely addressed and a solution to the problem is somewhat evidenced. A faith commitment does not inform the choice of the topic, methods, or discussion of findings. 0-1 pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 2</td>
<td>The literature review is highly relevant to the subject area, presented accurately and completely. Theory is relevant and accurately described. Scientifically sound, thorough and precise thought is applied to the topic. At least 5 sources were investigated.</td>
<td>The literature review is relevant to the subject area, presented accurately and completely. Theory is fairly relevant and accurately described. Scientifically sound, thorough and precise thought is applied to the topic intermittently. At least 5 sources were investigated. 5 – 7 pts</td>
<td>The literature review is not relevant to the subject area, nor presented accurately or completely. Theory is only somewhat relevant and poorly described. Scientifically sound, thorough and precise thought is not applied to the topic. Less than 5 sources were investigated. 0 – 4 pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literature Review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 3</td>
<td>The project is clearly presented in the form of a curriculum plan, book, manual or an article. The underlying logic is explicit. How the project will be useful in the field of special education is clearly articulated.</td>
<td>The project is fairly presented in the form of a curriculum plan, book, manual or an article. The underlying logic is somewhat explicit. How the project will be useful in the field of special education is articulated. 2-3pts</td>
<td>The project is not clearly presented in the form of a curriculum plan, book, manual or an article. The underlying logic is not explicit. How the project will be useful in the field of special education is not clearly articulated. 0-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing</td>
<td>The project is coherently organized and the logic is easy to follow. There are only a few spelling and grammatical errors. There are few errors in the APA formatting of the paper. Terminology is clearly defined. Writing is clear and concise and persuasive. 4-5 pts</td>
<td>The project is somewhat coherent in organization and the logic is fairly easy to follow. There are a number of spelling and grammatical errors. There are several errors in the APA formatting of the paper. Terminology is fairly defined. Writing is somewhat clear and concise and persuasive. 2-3 pts</td>
<td>The project is coherently organized and the logic is easy to follow. There are a many spelling and grammatical errors. There are a number of errors in the APA formatting of the paper. Terminology is fairly defined. Writing is fairly defined and persuasive. 0-1 pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project (EDME 531)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name:______________________</td>
<td>Date: ___________________________</td>
<td>Score: _____________</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Course Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Thesis Assignments</th>
<th>Project Assignments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wk 1</strong></td>
<td>Intro to course and research process</td>
<td>Carefully read the course syllabus and begin writing proposal.</td>
<td>Carefully read the course syllabus and begin writing proposal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 17th</td>
<td>to May 24th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wk 2</strong></td>
<td>Proposal</td>
<td>Thesis Proposal Due</td>
<td>Project Proposal Due</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 25th</td>
<td>to May 31st</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>YOU MAY NOT PROCEED WITH ANY OF YOUR RESEARCH UNTIL YOUR PROPOSAL HAS BEEN APPROVED BY YOUR ADVISOR.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wk 3</strong></td>
<td><strong>CHAPTER 1</strong> Introduction to problem &amp;</td>
<td>Chapter 1: Draft Due (Add Abstract later)</td>
<td>Chapter 1: Draft Due (Add Abstract later)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1st</td>
<td>hypothesis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to June 7th</td>
<td><strong>Wk 4</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 8th</td>
<td><strong>CHAPTER 1</strong></td>
<td>Chapter 1 Final Copy Due June 14th</td>
<td>Chapter 1 Final Copy Due June 14th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to June 14th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wk 5</strong></td>
<td><strong>CHAPTER 2</strong> Literature Review</td>
<td>Chapter 2 Literature Review Draft Due on June 21st</td>
<td>Chapter 2 Literature Review Draft Due on June 21st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 15th</td>
<td>to June 21st</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wk 6</strong></td>
<td><strong>CHAPTER 2</strong></td>
<td>Chapter 2 Literature Review Draft Due on June 21st</td>
<td>Chapter 2 Literature Review Draft Due on June 21st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 22nd</td>
<td>to June 28th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wk 7</strong></td>
<td><strong>CHAPTER 2</strong></td>
<td>Chapter 2 Literature Review Draft Due on June 21st</td>
<td>Chapter 2 Literature Review Draft Due on June 21st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 28th</td>
<td>to July 4th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wk 7</strong></td>
<td><strong>June 29th</strong> to <strong>July 5th</strong></td>
<td><strong>CHAPTER 3</strong></td>
<td>Chapter 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wk 8</strong></td>
<td><strong>July 6th</strong> to <strong>July 12th</strong></td>
<td><strong>CHAPTER 3</strong></td>
<td>Chapter 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wk 9</strong></td>
<td><strong>July 13th</strong> to <strong>July 19th</strong></td>
<td><strong>CHAPTER 3</strong></td>
<td>Chapter 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wk 10</strong></td>
<td><strong>July 20th</strong> to <strong>July 26th</strong></td>
<td><strong>CHAPTER 3</strong></td>
<td>Chapter 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wk 11</strong></td>
<td><strong>July 27th</strong> to <strong>Aug. 2nd</strong></td>
<td><strong>CHAPTER 4</strong></td>
<td>Begin Chapter 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wk 12</strong></td>
<td><strong>Aug. 3rd</strong> to <strong>Aug. 9th</strong></td>
<td><strong>CHAPTER 4</strong></td>
<td><strong>Chapter 4</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wk 13</strong></td>
<td><strong>Aug. 10th</strong> to <strong>Aug. 16th</strong></td>
<td><strong>FINAL THESIS/FINAL PROJECT</strong></td>
<td><strong>Final Thesis Editing</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wk 14</td>
<td>Final Thesis/Project Due</td>
<td>Final Thesis/Project Due</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug. 17th to Aug. 22nd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>