Education (MEd) Program-185

Annual Assessment Plan

1



5 - Apply ethical principles

Apply ethical principles to complex problem-solving and decision-making

Outcome(s)



Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.



Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

Please Enter The Following Information Below:

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
EDME 505 Personalized Ethical Framework	90% of students will score 80% or higher	Spring 2022; every three years

4

Results - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. *Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.

I was not able to pull up the assessment report because the instructor did not utilize the rubric for grading. I looked at the grades for this assignment. 90% of students did score 80% or higher. We met our target.

I have communicated with the instructor that the rubric must be used in the future.



	h
5	
J	
	/

6

Action Plans - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic, change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional support/resources for students.

Closing the Loop - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

The two needed assignments are now in EDME 505, and they are linked to our assessment plan. I have communicated with the instructor that the rubric must be used in the future.



Analyze, evaluate, and respond to current trends and issues in education.

Outcome(s)

Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.



Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

Please Enter The Following Information Below:

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline



Measures	Targets	Timeline	
EDME 525 Analysis of a Current Issue in Curriculum	90% of students will score 80% or higher	Fall 2020 Every 3 years	

Results - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. *Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.



6

Action Plans - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic, change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional support/resources for students.

Closing the Loop - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

EDME 525 was redesigned summer 2021, and the assignment "Analysis of a Current Issue in Curriculum" is now in the course.

1 - B - Historical evolution

Demonstrate an understanding of the historical evolution of schools and the influence that history has had in shaping current school context

Outcome(s)

Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.



Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

Please Enter The Following Information Below:



Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
EDME 505 Historical developments in education impacting a particular educational context	90% of students will score 80% or higher	Spring 2020; every three years



Results - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. *Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.

Action Plans - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic, change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional support/resources for students.

The new instructor of this course did not include this assignment. I think we need to re-think this learning objective, and if we keep it, we need to look at what course should be utilized to assess it.

Closing the Loop - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

I have made a note to discuss this with the incoming GPE director next year.





6 - B - Intracultural awareness

Demonstrate intracultural awareness.

Outcome(s)



Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.



Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

Please Enter The Following Information Below:

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
EDME 502 Intersectionality paper	90% of students will score 80% or higher	Fall 2019; every three years

Results - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. *Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.

I pulled data for this course in spring 2022. The course has been moved to spring, and I wanted to assess the 8-week version. 90% of students scored 80% or higher. We met our target.





Action Plans - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic, change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional support/resources for students.

Closing the Loop - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

An action plan from last year was to communicate with the professor about the need to use the grading rubric. The rubric was utilized. There is no new action plan.



3 - A - Reflect on current practice

Reflect on current practice and adapt as needed.

Outcome(s)



Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.



Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

Please Enter The Following Information Below:

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline	



Measures	Targets	Timeline	
EDME 503 Instruction and	90% of students will score	Summer 2021 Every 3 years	

Results - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. *Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.



Action Plans - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic, change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional support/resources for students.



Closing the Loop - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

1-C - Mastery of content

Demonstrate mastery of content knowledge within one's MEd track.

Outcome(s)

Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.



Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

Selected Outcomes:

G1 - Spec. Knowledge - G1. Specialized knowledge

Please Enter The Following Information Below:

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.



Measures	Targets	Timeline
EDME 583/584 - Literature review criterion in capstone paper	90% will score 80% or higher.	Spring 2021; every three years



Results - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. *Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.

5

Action Plans - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic, change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional support/resources for students.

Closing the Loop - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.



2-A - Apply educational research

Locate, evaluate, and apply appropriate educational research to address issues in educational contexts.

Outcome(s)



Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.



Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

Please Enter The Following Information Below:

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.




Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
EDME 580 - Literature review	90% will score 80% or higher	Fall 2022; every three years



Results - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. *Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.



Closing the Loop - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

2-B - Use research to influence stakeholders

Use research appropriately to influence stakeholders in educational contexts.

Outcome(s)

1

Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.



Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

Selected Outcomes:

• G2 - Scholarly Act. - G2. Scholarly Activities



Please Enter The Following Information Below:

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
EDME 505 - Leading for Change project	90% will score 80% or higher.	Spring 2020; every 3 years

Results - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. *Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.

Action Plans - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic, change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional support/resources for students.

Closing the Loop - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

3-B - Design instruction and assessment

Design and differentiate instructional plans and assessments to promote learning for all students.

Outcome(s)

Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.



Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

Selected Outcomes:

G3 - Competencies - G3. Competencies

Please Enter The Following Information Below:

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
EDME 503:Instruction and Assessment Project – Part 2 and Part 3 on the rubric	90% of students will score 80% or higher	Fall 2021; every three years



Results - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. *Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.

Only 80% met the target. We did not achieve the goal of 90% scoring 80% or higher.

Action Plans - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic, change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional support/resources for students.

I have put this on my list of things to talk about with the incoming director in the fall.





Closing the Loop - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

11 3-C - Use technology to support learning

Demonstrate appropriate use of technology to support student learning.

Outcome(s)



Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.



Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

Selected Outcomes:

• G3 - Competencies - G3. Competencies

Please Enter The Following Information Below:

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
EDME 503:Instructional Plan: Technology criterion	90% of students will score 80% or higher	Summer 2021; every 3 years

4

Results - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. *Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.





Action Plans - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic, change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional support/resources for students.

Closing the Loop - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.



3-D - Develop effective curriculum

Analyze, critique, and develop effective curriculum within one's specialized M.Ed. track.

Outcome(s)



Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.



Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

Selected Outcomes:

• G3 - Competencies - G3. Competencies

Please Enter The Following Information Below:

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
EDME 525: Curriculum project within one's specialized MEd track.	90% of students will score 80% or higher	Fall 2020; Every 3 years





Results - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. *Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.

Action Plans - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic, change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional support/resources for students.



Closing the Loop - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

13 4-A - Connections between educational leadership and faith

Identify connections between educational leadership and Christian faith.

Outcome(s)

Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.



Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

Selected Outcomes:

• G4 - Faith - G4. Christian Faith and Principles

Please Enter The Following Information Below:

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline



Measures	Targets	Timeline	
EDME 505:A Christian Perspective on Teacher	90% of students will score 80% or higher on the overall	Spring 2022; every 3 years	
Leadership	assignment.		

Results - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. *Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.

I was not able to pull up the assessment report because the instructor did not utilize the rubric for grading. I looked at the grades for this assignment. 90% of students did score 80% or higher. We met our target.

Action Plans - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic, change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional support/resources for students.

I have communicated with the instructor that the rubric must be used in the future.

Closing the Loop - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

4 4-B - Connect instruction for all learners with faith

Design instruction that meets the needs of an all learners connecting this practice to Christian faith.

Outcome(s)

Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.



Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

Selected Outcomes:

• G4 - Faith - G4. Christian Faith and Principles


Please Enter The Following Information Below:

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
EDME 551: UDL Lesson Evaluation	90% of students will score 80% or higher on the overall assignment.	Fall 2019; every 3 years



Action Plans - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic, change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional support/resources for students.



Closing the Loop - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

15 6-A - Cultural aspects of curriculum and learning

Identify cultural aspects of curriculum and learning in a specific context



Outcome(s)



Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.



Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

Selected Outcomes:

• G6 - Intercult Comp - G6. Intercultural Competence

Please Enter The Following Information Below:

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
EDME 525: Culture and Curriculum project	90% of students will score 80% or higher on the overall assignment.	Fall 2021; every three years

4

Results - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. *Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.

We achieved this goal. 100% of the students scored 80% or higher on the assignment.



Action Plans - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic, change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional support/resources for students.



Closing the Loop - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

6-C - Foster collaborative relationshps

Foster collaborative relationships among students, families, and educators to foster the well-being of all learners.

Outcome(s)

Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

Selected Outcomes:

• G6 - Intercult Comp - G6. Intercultural Competence

Please Enter The Following Information Below:

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
EDME 502; understanding diversity project	90% of students will score 80% or higher on the overall assignment.	Summer 2021; every three years



Results - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. *Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.

I was not able to pull up the assessment report because the instructor did not utilize the rubric for grading. I looked at the grades for this assignment. 90% of students did score 80% or higher. We met our target.

Action Plans - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic, change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional support/resources for students.

I have communicated with the instructor that the rubric must be used in the future.

Closing the Loop - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

Holistic program improvement goals: Programs are expected to have at least one action plan to improve student learning annually. If you have not yet identified an action plan associated with this year's assessment results, or if the department has identified additional issues that require action plans, describe the specific, measurable action plan and its relation to evidence of student performance.

We still have more work to do on assessment. Here are our goals for next year.

1. Communicate to all adjuncts about the need to utilize the rubric in Canvas for grading.

2. Talk through the PLO "1. B. Demonstrate an understanding of the historical evolution of schools and the influence that history has had in shaping current school contexts" with the incoming GPE director. Consider whether that should be changed, and/or what assignment should be utilized.

3. Ensure that our target assessment dates align with when courses are offered.

4. Discuss objective 3B with incoming director. We did not achieve this goal, based on the assignment in EDME 503.



6

Assessment Rubric

Process

1

	1	2	3	4
Is the plan being implemented faithfully and revised as needed?	Assessment plan is not implemented.	Most aspects of plan are being implemented or all aspects are implemented to some degree.	Assessment plan is fully implemented.	Plan is faithfully executed and modified/evaluated as needed.

Explanations:

2

3

Engagement

1	2	3	4
---	---	---	---



	1	2	3	4
Are all relevant parties are meaningfully involved in the creation/revision, implementation, analysis, interpretation and learning improvement process?	Limited involvement beyond chair/director	All educators contributing to the curriculum are aware of process and results	All educators contributing to the curriculum participate in conversations regarding the use of assessment data to improve student learning	All relevant stakeholders (students, employers, alumni) are meaningfully involved in the creation/revision, implementation, analysis, interpretation, and/or improvement processes associated with this assessment plan.

Explanations:

4

5

Program Learning Objectives

1	2	3	4
---	---	---	---



	1	2	3	4
Are the program learning objectives clear, measurable, aligned with ULOS/GLOs, and representative of the range of learning for that major/program?	PLOs are problematic (vague, abstract, not aligned with ULOs/GLOs) or missing.	PLOs are clear, mostly measurable, partially aligned with ULOs/GLOs.	PLOs are clear, measureable, aligned with ULOs/GLOs, and represent a summary of the knowledge, skills, beliefs, and values that a graduate of this major/program should attain by completing the required curriculum, accounting for variations in learning outcomes due to tracks/concentrations	PLOs are clear, measurable, aligned with ULOs/GLOs, and representative of the range of learning students achieve through completion of the program. The learning objectives provide a comprehensive view of the knowledge, skills, beliefs, and values that are important for a graduate of this major/program and account for variations in learning outcomes due to

Explanations:

6

7

Measures

1 2 3 4



	Not all objectives	All objectives have at	All objectives have at	Measures meet all of
	have a measure	least one direct	least one direct	the following criteria:
	identified. OR	measure. Measures	measure. Some	All objectives have at
	Measures do not	connect to learning	objectives have	least one direct
	directly connect to	objectives	multiple measures.	measure. Some
Are the	the objectives.	superficially or	Measures clearly	objectives have
instruments used		tangentially and/or	connect to learning	multiple measures.
to assess learning		include learning other	objectives. And two	Measures clearly
relevant to the		than stated	of the following four	connect to learning
objective? Do		objectives. Relies	criteria: Objective	objectives. Objectives
measures yield		almost exclusively on	measures more than	measured more than
information/data		the same form of	one point in time	one point in time
you can use to		assessment (survey,	(formative). Indirect	(formative). Indirect
drive		exam, project). Relies	measure are used	measures are used
improvement?		almost exclusively on	strategically. Plan	strategically. Plan
		data from a single	Incorporates different	incorporates different
		source (course,	forms of assessment	forms of assessment
		program, activity).	(survey, exam,	(survey, exam,
			project). Plan	project). Plan
			incorporates from a	incorporates data
			variety of sources	from a variety of
			(course, program,	sources (course,
			activity).	program, activity).

Explanations:

Targets

|--|

	1	2	3	4
Are the targets based on professional standards and/or analysis of past student work? Are targets challenging and achievable?	Some targets are missing.	Targets are arbitrarily chosen or reflect minimal expectations.	Targets are challenging and achievable based on prior student performance, and reflect an appropriate level of performance.	Targets are challenging and achievable. Targets are based on professional standards and/or prior student performance. Targets are set at a level to inspire program improvement.

Explanations:

11

10

Timeline

	1	2	3	4
Is the timeline for data collection manageable with sufficient data points to effectively inform decision making and program review?	Not identified clearly for all measures.	Clearly states semester/year for each objective/measure. Data analysis delayed from data collection. Time between collection points may not facilitate informed decision making.	Clearly stated and manageable schedule. At least two data points for each objective per review cycle.	Timeline for data collection is manageable and allows for continuous improvement with timely and meaningful decision making even before program review.



Action Plan

13

	1	2	3	4
	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
	Assessment data not	Data collected,	Data collected,	Department collected
	collected/analyzed/used	documented and	documented and	and discussed follow-
	for decisions and/or	discussed by	discussed by	up data after the
	results not	department.	department.	implementation of
Is the department	documented in AEFIS.	Department reviewed	Department and dean	action plans in order
effectively		confidence in	confirmed confidence	to determine whether
examining and		measures and data as	in measures and data	changes resulted in
using assessment		sufficient indicators	as sufficient	improvement or
data to revise		of student	indicators of student	whether additional
curriculum and		performance. If data	performance. Action	action is necessary.
pedagogy to		indicated changes	plans (e.g. improving	Data confirms
support student		were needed, action	outcomes, measures,	effective curriculum
earning?		plans were developed	targets, curriculum or	and pedagogy for
g.		in consultation with	pedagogy) developed	learning outcomes.
		dean (e.g. improving	in consultation with	Score of 4 should be
		outcomes, measures,	dean. If prior year	assigned only if
		targets, curriculum or	data warranted action	objectives, measures,
		pedagogy).	plans, the department	targets and timeline
			implemented the	all score a 4.
			changes.	

Explanations:

14

15

Dissemination

	1	2	3	4
--	---	---	---	---



	1	2	3	4
				\bigcirc
	No record of	The	The	The
	assessment results	department/program	department/program	department/program
	and changes made as	retains records of	retains records of	retains records of
	a result of	assessment results	assessment results	assessment results
	assessment findings.	and positive changes	and changes made as	and changes made as
Is the department		made as a result of	a result of	a result of
communicating		assessment findings,	assessment findings,	assessment findings,
learning		and results are	results are entered in	and results are
objectives, results		entered in	assessment software	entered in
and improvements		assessment software	system, and	assessment software
related to student		system.	assessment results	system. Assessment
learning to a wide			and improvements	results and
audience?			are publicly posted.	improvements are
				publicly posted and
				shared proactively
				with faculty,
				prospective students,
				employers and alumni
				in ways that facilitate
				their discussion.

16 Explanations:

Additional Feedback

1

Please enter any additional feedback for changes that should be made:

CLOSE AND EXIT

