# Family Nurse Practitioner (DNP)

Program-13

#### **Annual Assessment Plan**



#### 2 CC #1 - Decision making (GLO 4)

Integrates nursing science with knowledge from ethics, biophysical, psychosocial, analytical, and organizational sciences as the foundation in applying the highest level of clinical reasoning and decision making for nursing practice planning and implementation of care.

#### Outcome(s)

1 Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

2 Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

Selected Outcomes:

o G1 - Spec. Knowledge - G1. Specialized knowledge

# 3 Please Enter The Following Information Below:

**Measures** - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

**Timeline** - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
NURS 732 Final DNP project paper	80% of students will score an 80% or higher	Assessed Even Years

Results - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. \*Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.

Benchmark not met. 71% of students scored 80% or higher. 2 students were below 80% and were consistently low scoring in previous courses.

Action Plans - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic, change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional support/resources for students.

Course revisions will occur in NURS 623, 632, and 731 to more adequately prepare students for the final paper.

Closing the Loop - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

N/A

#### **GC #2 - Ethical initiatives (GLO 5)**

Uses advanced skills of written and verbal scholarly communication for leadership of quality, ethical, and safety health care initiatives that incorporate inter-professional collaborations to meet current and future needs of patient populations.

## Outcome(s)

1 Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

2 Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

Selected Outcomes:

- o G5 Ethical Princ. G5. Ethical Principles
- Please Enter The Following Information Below:

**Measures** - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

**Timeline** - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
NURS 602 IPE simulation	100% of students will participate	Assessed Odd Years

Results - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. \*Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.

Benchmark met by 100% of students

Action Plans - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic, change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional support/resources for students.

N/A

Closing the Loop - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

N/A

CC #3 - Applies analytic methods for critical appraisal (GLO 2)

Applies analytic methods for critical appraisal of all evidence types for translation of best practice evidence into high level nursing practice implementation through written and verbal scholarly communication.

## Outcome(s)

1 Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

2 Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

Selected Outcomes:

o G2 - Scholarly Act. - G2. Scholarly Activities

Please Enter The Following Information Below:

**Measures** - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

**Timeline** - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
NURS 632 Project prep assignment-Table of evidence matrix	80% of students will score an 80% or higher	Assessed Odd Years

Results - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. \*Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.

Benchmark met by 100% of students

5	Action Plans - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement
	strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic
	change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional
	support/resources for students.

N/A

Closing the Loop - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

Benchmark was met this year with some revisions to the lecture content for that assignment.

5 CC #4 - Proficiency in Information systems/technology (GLO 3)

Uses information systems/technology proficiently to evaluate programs of care, outcomes of care, and care systems for quality improvement and administrative decision-making.

## Outcome(s)

1 Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

2 Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

Selected Outcomes:

- o G3 Competencies G3. Competencies
- 3 Please Enter The Following Information Below:

**Measures** - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

**Timeline** - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
NURS 632 Final project proposal paper	80% of students will score an 80% or higher	Assessed Even Years
NURS 732 Final DNP project paper	80% of students will score an 80% or higher	Assessed Even Years

Results - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. \*Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.

Benchmark met by 92% of students. Weakness identified in NURS 732 final paper

Action Plans - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic, change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional support/resources for students.

Course revisions will occur in NURS 623, 632, and 731 to more adequately prepare students for the final paper.

Closing the Loop - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

N/A

6 CC #5 - Health care policies (GLO 3)

Analyzes health care policies from the perspective of nursing and stakeholders for application to health care financing, regulation, access, safety, quality, and efficacy in providing high quality nursing care.

## Outcome(s)

1 Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

2 Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

Selected Outcomes:

o G3 - Competencies - G3. Competencies

Please Enter The Following Information Below:

**Measures** - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

**Targets** - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

**Timeline** - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
NURS 631 Policy fishbone	80% of students will score an 80% or higher	Assessed Odd Years

Results - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. \*Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.

Benchmark met by 100% of students

5	Action Plans - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement
	strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic,
	change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional
	support/resources for students.

N/A

Closing the Loop - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

N/A

#### CC #6 - Interprofessional development (GLO 3)

Integrates effective professional written and verbal scholarly communication skills to provide leadership for interprofessional development and implementation of practice models, peer review, practice guidelines, health policy, standards of care, and/or other scholarly projects in addressing complex practice and organizational issues.

## Outcome(s)

1 Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

2 Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

Selected Outcomes:

- o G3 Competencies G3. Competencies
- Please Enter The Following Information Below:

**Measures** - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

**Targets** - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

**Timeline** - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
NURS 500 Week 6-Annotated bibliography assignment	80% of students will score an 80% or higher	Assessed Even Years
NURS 731 Peer review	80% of students will score an 80% or higher	Assessed Even Years

Results - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. \*Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.

Benchmark met by 100% of students

Action Plans - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic, change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional support/resources for students.

N/A

Closing the Loop - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

N/A

8 CC #7b - CC #7b Intercultural Competence: Analysis for Culturally Humble Care (GLO 6)

Analyzes epidemiological, biostatistical, occupational, and environmental data in the development, implementation, and evaluation of culturally humble care directed toward health promotion and disease prevention (intercultural competence measures)

## Outcome(s)

1 Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

2 Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

Selected Outcomes:

o G6 - Intercult Comp - G6. Intercultural Competence

3 Please Enter The Following Information Below:

**Measures** - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

**Timeline** - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
NURS 505 Bioethics across cultures presentation	80% of students will score an 80% or higher	Assessed Even Years

Results - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. \*Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.

Benchmark met by 96% of students.

5	Action Plans - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement
	strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic,
	change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional
	support/resources for students.

N/A

Closing the Loop - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

N/A

#### CC #8 - Clinical assessment (GLO 3)

Demonstrates advanced levels of clinical assessment and judgment in designing, delivering, and evaluating evidence-based care to improve patient outcomes in primary care environments.

#### Outcome(s)

1 Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

Selected Outcomes:

- o G3 Competencies G3. Competencies
- Please Enter The Following Information Below:

**Measures** - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

**Targets** - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

**Timeline** - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
NURS 724 Clinical Anecdotal note quarter 4 and self- evaluation	80% of students will score an 80% or higher	Assessed Odd Years

Results - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. \*Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.

100% of students met the benchmark.

Action Plans - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic, change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional support/resources for students.

N/A

Closing the Loop - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

N/A

#### 10 Faith Connection - Faith Connection

Provide knowledge and skills that enables student to incorporate faith, religion, and spirituality into nursing practice, and understand how Christian faith connects with advanced practice nursing.

#### Outcome(s)

1 Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

Selected Outcomes:

o G4 - Faith - G4. Christian Faith and Principles

# Please Enter The Following Information Below:

**Measures** - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

**Timeline** - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
NURS 506 Faith integration paper	80% of students will score an 80% or higher	Assessed Even Years
NURS 640 Case Study: U.S. Healthcare reform, work, and ethical perspectives	80% of students will score an 80% or higher	Assessed Even Years
NURS 732 Portfolio assignment	80% of students will score an 80% or higher	Assessed Even Years

Results - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. \*Please see the May Development section on the

Assessment or results.	tudent Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessmer
Benchmark	net for 100% of students/courses

Action Plans - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic, change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional support/resources for students.

N/A

Closing the Loop - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

N/A

Holistic program improvement goals: Programs are expected to have at least one action plan to improve student learning annually. If you have not yet identified an action plan associated with this year's assessment results, or if the department has identified additional issues that require action plans, describe the specific, measurable action plan and its relation to evidence of student performance.

Course revisions will occur in NURS 623, 632, and 731 to more adequately prepare students for the final DNP project paper. Otherwise, all benchmarks met.

Goal: Increase student competence on NURS 732 final DNP project paper to be above benchmark (80% score 80% or higher) through course revisions.

#### **Assessment Rubric**



	1	2	3	4
Is the plan being implemented faithfully and revised as needed?	Assessment plan is not implemented.	Most aspects of plan are being implemented or all aspects are implemented to some degree.	Assessment plan is fully implemented.	Plan is faithfully executed and modified/evaluated as needed.

2 Explanations:

3

# **Engagement**

	1	2	3	4
Are all relevant parties are meaningfully involved in the creation/revision, implementation, analysis, interpretation and learning improvement process?	Limited involvement beyond chair/director	All educators contributing to the curriculum are aware of process and results	All educators contributing to the curriculum participate in conversations regarding the use of assessment data to improve student learning	All relevant stakeholders (students, employers, alumni) are meaningfully involved in the creation/revision, implementation, analysis, interpretation, and/or improvement processes associated with this assessment plan.

- 4 Explanations:
- 5

# **Program Learning Objectives**

	1	2	3	4
	PLOs are problematic	PLOs are clear, mostly	PLOs are clear,	PLOs are clear,
	(vague, abstract, not	measurable, partially	measureable, aligned	measurable, aligned
	aligned with	aligned with	with ULOs/GLOs, and	with ULOs/GLOs, and
	ULOs/GLOs) or	ULOs/GLOs.	represent a summary	representative of the
_	missing.		of the knowledge,	range of learning
Are the program			skills, beliefs, and	students achieve
learning objectives			values that a	through completion
clear, measurable,			graduate of this	of the program. The
aligned with			major/program	learning objectives
ULOs/GLOs, and			should attain by	provide a
representative of			completing the	comprehensive view
the range of			required curriculum,	of the knowledge,
learning for that			accounting for	skills, beliefs, and
major/program?			variations in learning	values that are
			outcomes due to	important for a
			tracks/concentrations	graduate of this
				major/program and
				account for variations
				in learning outcomes
				due to
				tracks/concentrations.

6 Explanations:

7

#### Measures

1	2	3	4

8 Explanations:

Targets

1	2	3	4

	1	2	3	4
Are the targets based on professional standards and/or analysis of past student work? Are targets challenging and achievable?	Some targets are missing.	Targets are arbitrarily chosen or reflect minimal expectations.	Targets are challenging and achievable based on prior student performance, and reflect an appropriate level of performance.	Targets are challenging and achievable. Targets are based on professional standards and/or prior student performance. Targets are set at a level to inspire program improvement.

10 Explanations:

# Timeline

	1	2	3	4
Is the timeline for data collection manageable with sufficient data points to effectively inform decision making and program review?	Not identified clearly for all measures.	Clearly states semester/year for each objective/measure. Data analysis delayed from data collection. Time between collection points may not facilitate informed decision making.	Clearly stated and manageable schedule. At least two data points for each objective per review cycle.	Timeline for data collection is manageable and allows for continuous improvement with timely and meaningful decision making even before program review.

12 Explanations:

#### **Action Plan**

	1	2	3	4
Is the department effectively examining and using assessment data to revise curriculum and pedagogy to support student learning?	Assessment data not collected/analyzed/used for decisions and/or results not documented in AEFIS.	discussed by department.  Department reviewed confidence in measures and data as sufficient indicators of student performance. If data indicated changes were needed, action plans were developed in consultation with dean (e.g. improving outcomes, measures, targets, curriculum or	Data collected, documented and discussed by department. Department and dean confirmed confidence in measures and data as sufficient indicators of student performance. Action plans (e.g. improving outcomes, measures, targets, curriculum or pedagogy) developed in consultation with dean. If prior year data warranted action	Department collected and discussed follow-up data after the implementation of action plans in order to determine whether changes resulted in improvement or whether additional action is necessary.  Data confirms effective curriculum and pedagogy for learning outcomes.  Score of 4 should be assigned only if objectives, measures,
		pedagogy).	plans, the department implemented the changes.	targets and timeline all score a 4.

Explanations:

15

### Dissemination

1	2	3	4





#### **Additional Feedback**

1 Please enter any additional feedback for changes that should be made:

It appears that the NURS faculty have identified ways to better support students in their final projects which is where the issue was in terms of not meeting targets.

**CLOSE AND EXIT**