

# Messiah College

# Philosophy (BA)

Program-33

Completed By: Stephanie Patterson **Submit Date:** Apr 13, 2020

### Annual Assessment Plan



### 2 4.2 - B - Research appropriate

The ability to do and document research appropriate to philosophy.

# Outcome(s)

Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

Selected Outcomes:

• ULO 4 - Specialized Skills and Scholarship

Please Enter The Following Information Below:

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
PHIL 460 Seminar Paper Evaluation (SPE): Documentation Score #6	At least 80% of the papers will score satisfactory or superior (2 or higher out of 3) in Seminar Paper	Every other year

Results - Was the target met? What was the faculty discussion about these results?

**Action Plans** 

Closing the Loop - What actions were taken to Improve Student Learning on This PLO during this academic year?

### 4.1 - A - Leading figures contributions

Describe the major contributions of leading figures in the history of philosophy.

### Outcome(s)

1

Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

2

Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

Selected Outcomes:

• ULO 2 - Breadth and Depth of Knowledge

3

Please Enter The Following Information Below:

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
PHIL 460 Seminar Paper Evaluation: (SPE) Other Outcomes (OO #4)	At least 80% of the papers will score satisfactory or superior (2 or higher out of 3) in Seminar Paper	Every other year
Exit Survey (ES #4)	4 out of 5 for each achievement target in category of exit interviews and learning outcome questions	Every

Results - Was the target met? What was the faculty discussion about these results?



Action Plans

6

Closing the Loop - What actions were taken to Improve Student Learning on This PLO during this academic year?

### 4.1 - B - Contemporary discussion

Explain the basic outlines of the contemporary professional discussion of enduring philosophic questions

# Outcome(s)



Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.



Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

Selected Outcomes:

• ULO 2 - Breadth and Depth of Knowledge



Please Enter The Following Information Below:

**Measures** - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
PHIL 460 Seminar Paper Evaluation: (SPE) Other Outcomes (OO #4)	At least 80% of the papers will score satisfactory or superior (2 or higher out of 3) in Seminar Paper	Every other year
Exit Survey (ES #5)	4 out of 5 for each achievement target in category of exit interviews and learning outcome questions	Every

Results - Was the target met? What was the faculty discussion about these results?

**Action Plans** 

Closing the Loop - What actions were taken to Improve Student Learning on This PLO during this academic year?

#### 4.2 - Clear and cogent arguments

The ability to make clear and cogent written and oral arguments and be able to evaluate the arguments of others

# Outcome(s)

Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

Selected Outcomes:

• ULO 4 - Specialized Skills and Scholarship

Please Enter The Following Information Below:

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
PHIL 460 Seminar Paper Evaluation: (SPE) Other Outcomes (OO #1)	At least 80% of the papers will score satisfactory or superior (2 or higher out of 3) in Seminar Paper	Every other year
Exit Survey (ES #1)	4 out of 5 for each achievement target in category of exit interviews and learning outcome questions	Every

- Results Was the target met? What was the faculty discussion about these results?
- **Action Plans**
- Closing the Loop What actions were taken to Improve Student Learning on This PLO during this academic year?

### 4.3 - Clear and persuasive writing

The ability to write clearly and persuasively in the genre of contemporary philosophy

# Outcome(s)

Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

Selected Outcomes:

• ULO 4 - Specialized Skills and Scholarship

Please Enter The Following Information Below:

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
PHIL 460 Seminar Paper Evaluation (SPE): Overall Writing Assessment #7	At least 80% of the papers will score satisfactory or superior (2 or higher out of 3) in Seminar Paper	Every other year
Exit Survey (ES #3)	4 out of 5 for each achievement target in category of exit interviews and learning outcome questions	Every

- Results Was the target met? What was the faculty discussion about these results?
- **Action Plans**
- Closing the Loop What actions were taken to Improve Student Learning on This PLO during this academic year?

### 4.4 - A - Potential outcomes

Identify and describe potential options for employment, voluntary service, and/or graduate education in Philosophy.

## Outcome(s)

Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

Selected Outcomes:

• ULO 5 - Self-Awareness

Please Enter The Following Information Below:

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
Exit Survey (ES #8)	4 out of 5 for each achievement target in category of exit interviews and learning outcome questions	Every year
Exit Survey (ES #9)	4 out of 5 for each achievement target in category of exit interviews and learning outcome questions	Every year

Results - Was the target met? What was the faculty discussion about these results?

**Action Plans** 

Closing the Loop - What actions were taken to Improve Student Learning on This PLO during this academic year?

#### 4.4 - B - Suitability

Identify one's own suitability for particular employment, voluntary service, and/or graduate education in Philosophy.

### Outcome(s)



Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

Selected Outcomes:

• ULO 5 - Self-Awareness



Please Enter The Following Information Below:

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
Exit Survey (ES #8)	4 out of 5 for each achievement target in category of exit interviews and learning outcome questions	Every year
Exit Survey (ES #9)	4 out of 5 for each achievement target in category of exit interviews and learning outcome questions	Every year

Results - Was the target met? What was the faculty discussion about these results?

**Action Plans** 

Closing the Loop - What actions were taken to Improve Student Learning on This PLO during this academic year?

### 4.5 - A - Connect faith

The ability fruitfully to connect the Christian faith with philosophy. This includes the ability: (i) to develop a coherent Christian worldview; (ii) to think fruitfully about the Christian faith using the tools of philosophy; and (iii), to think carefully about philosophical issues from a Christian perspective.

### Outcome(s)

Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

Selected Outcomes:

• ULO 3 - Faith Knowledge & Application

Please Enter The Following Information Below:

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
PHIL 460 Seminar Paper Evaluation (SPE): Other Outcome OO #6	At least 80% of the papers will score satisfactory or superior (2 or higher out of 3) in Seminar Paper	Every other year
Exit Survey (ES #6)	4 out of 5 for each achievement target in category of exit interviews and learning outcome questions	Every year

- Results Was the target met? What was the faculty discussion about these results?
- **Action Plans**
- Closing the Loop What actions were taken to Improve Student Learning on This PLO during this academic year?

### 10 4.5 - B - Engage alternatives

The ability to respectfully engage alternatives to and within Christianity

# Outcome(s)

Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

Selected Outcomes:

• ULO 3 - Faith Knowledge & Application

Please Enter The Following Information Below:

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
PHIL 460 Seminar Paper Evaluation (SPE): Other Outcome OO #7	At least 80% of the papers will score satisfactory or superior (2 or higher out of 3) in Seminar Paper	Every other year

- Results Was the target met? What was the faculty discussion about these results?
- **Action Plans**
- Closing the Loop What actions were taken to Improve Student Learning on This PLO during this academic year?

#### 11 4.5 - C - Evaluate beliefs

Evaluate one's own beliefs and those of others with respect, honesty and rigor.

# Outcome(s)

Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

#### Selected Outcomes:

• ULO 3 - Faith Knowledge & Application



# Please Enter The Following Information Below:

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
Exit Survey (ES #2)	4 out of 5 for each achievement target in category of exit interviews and learning outcome questions	Every
PHIL 460 Seminar Paper Evaluation (SPE): Overall Writing Assessment #7	At least 80% of the papers will score satisfactory or superior (2 or higher out of 3) in Seminar Paper	Every other year
PHIL 460 Seminar Paper Evaluation (SPE): Other Outcome OO #6	At least 80% of the papers will score satisfactory or superior (2 or higher out of 3) in Seminar Paper	Every other year

Results - Was the target met? What was the faculty discussion about these results?

**Action Plans** 

Closing the Loop - What actions were taken to Improve Student Learning on This PLO during this academic year?

### Assessment Rubric



### **Process**

	1	2	3	4
Is the plan being implemented faithfully and revised as needed?	Assessment plan is not implemented.	Most aspects of plan are being implemented or all aspects are implemented to some degree.	Assessment plan is fully implemented.	Plan is faithfully executed and modified/evaluated as needed.

2	Explanations:				
3	Engagement				
		1	2	3	4
	Are all relevant parties are meaningfully involved in the creation/revision, implementation, analysis, interpretation and learning improvement process?	Limited involvement beyond chair/director	All department faculty are aware of process and results	All department faculty participate in conversations regarding the use of assessment data to improve student learning	All relevant stakeholders (students, employers, alumni) are meaningfully involved in the creation/revision, implementation, analysis, interpretation, and/or improvement processes associated with this assessment plan.
4	Explanations:				
5	Program Learning Objectiv	res	_	_	
		1	2	3	4

	Are the student learning objectives clear, measurable, aligned with ULOs/GLOs, and representative of the range of learning for that major/program?	Objectives are problematic (vague, abstract, not aligned with ULOs/GLOs) or missing.	Objectives are clear, mostly measurable, partially aligned with ULOs/GLOs.	Objectives are clear, measureable, aligned with ULOs/GLOs, and represent an overview of the knowledge, skills, beliefs, and values that are important for a graduate of this major/program, accounting for variations in learning outcomes due to tracks/concentrations	Objectives are clear, measurable, aligned with ULOs/GLOs, and representative of the range of learning that is important for this program. The learning objectives provide a comprehensive view of the knowledge, skills, beliefs, and values that are important for a graduate of this major/program and accounting for variations in learning outcomes due to tracks/concentrations.
6	Explanations:				
7	Measures	1	2	3	4

Not all objectives have a All objectives have at least one All objectives have at least one Measures measure identified. OR direct measure. Measures direct measure. Some objectives meet all of Measures do not directly connect to learning objectives have multiple measures. the following connect to the objectives. superficially or tangentially criteria: All Measures clearly connect to and/or include learning other learning objectives. And two of objectives than stated objectives. Relies the following four criteria: have at least almost exclusively on the same Objective measures more than one direct form of assessment (survey, one point in time (formative). measure. exam, project). Relies almost Indirect measure are used Some exclusively on data from a single strategically. Plan Incorporates objectives source (course, program, different forms of assessment have activity). multiple (survey, exam, project). Plan incorporates from a variety of measures. sources (course, program, Measures activity). clearly connect to learning objectives. Are the instruments used to Objectives assess learning relevant to measured the objective? Do measures more than yield information/data you one point in can use to drive time improvement? (formative). Indirect measures are used strategically. Plan incorporates different forms of assessment (survey, exam, project). Plan incorporates data from a variety of sources (course, program, activity). Explanations: **Targets** 2 3

	Some targets are missing.	Targets are arbitrarily chosen or reflect minimal expectations.	Targets are challenging and achievable based on prior data, and reflect the level of performance a novice	Targets and challengin and achievable
Are the targets based on			professional knows/can do.	Targets ar based on profession standards and/or pric
professional standards and/or experience with student work? Are targets				data and experience with stude work and
challenging and achievable?				reflect the
				performan a novice profession
				knows/car do. Target are set at
				level to inspire program
				improvem



	1	2	3	4
Is the timeline for data collection manageable with sufficient data points to effectively inform decision making and program review?	Not identified clearly for all measures.	Clearly states semester/year for each objective/measure. Data analysis delayed from data collection. Time between collection points may not facilitate informed decision making.	Clearly stated and manageable schedule. At least two data points for each objective per review cycle.	Timeline for data collection is manageable and allows for continuous improvemen with timely and meaningful decision making ever before program review.



Explanations:



### **Action Plan**

1	2	3	4
Assessment data not collected/analyzed/us decisions and/or result documented in AEFIS documented in AEFIS as the department effectively examining and sing assessment data to evise curriculum and edagogy to support tudent learning?	and discussed by department.  Ults not Department reviewed	Data collected, documented and discussed by department. Department and dean confirmed confidence in measures and data as sufficient indicators of student performance. Action plans (e.g. improving outcomes, measures, targets, curriculum or pedagogy) developed in consultation with dean. If prior year data warranted action plans, the department implemented the changes.	Department collected and discussed follow-up data after the implementation of action plans in order to determine whether changes resulted in improvement or whether additional action is necessary. Data confirms effective curriculum and pedagogy for learning outcomes. Score of 4 should be assigned only if objectives, measures, targets and timeline all score a 4.





# Dissemination

1 2 3 4

