

Studio Art (BFA)

 Program-64

Annual Assessment Plan

1

2 **4.2 - ability to research**

Demonstrates an ability to research, analyze, process and write about the visual arts

Outcome(s)

- 1 Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

- 2 Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

- 3 **Please Enter The Following Information Below:**

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
ArTH 111 -- Critical approach Final paper	75 % of student score 86 or above	Every other year (2016-17)

- 4 **Results** - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. *Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.

ARTH 111 critical approach final paper / 75% of students 86 or above

RESULTS = No critical approach final paper. Final paper (Classmate Interview) – 29 out of 29 students 86 or above

DISCUSSION: The department discussed the problem with having an adjunct teach this course, and the decision on the part of the adjunct to change the final paper in the course. Department shared concerns that the grades were very inflated (all students got 98/100 on final paper) - and that the chair should reach out and discuss this with the adjunct.

- 5 **Action Plans** - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic, change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional support/resources for students.

For Spring 2023, the adjunct will NOT be teaching the course, so when the assignment is returned to the course by the full time faculty member, the department can reassess the results in Spring 2023.

- 6 **Closing the Loop** - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

3 **4.3 C - concentration area**

Displays mastery and depth in concentration area

Outcome(s)

- 1 Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

- 2 Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

- 3 **Please Enter The Following Information Below:**

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.



Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
Creation of a related body of work for Senior Exhibition	80% receive a 88 or above	Annually (2015-16)

- 4 **Results** - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. *Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.

Senior Exhibit / 80% receive an 88/100 "body of work"

Does "quality of Work" reflect their "final grade?"

RESULTS = "Quality of Work" 18 out of 22 students (82%) received 88 or above. When BFA students are broken out of the group, 10 out of 11 students (91%) received an 88 or above.

DISCUSSION: Senior students were reviewed, and the department distinguished between the quality of work being made by the Senior B.A. students in contrast to the Senior B.F.A. students. All faculty agreed that the quality of the work was appropriate, and matched the assessment targets. The two thesis exhibitions also showed this difference in maturity and quality across the contrasting programs.

- 5 **Action Plans** - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic, change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional support/resources for students.

No need for action plan - the senior students are meeting the appropriate target.

- 6 **Closing the Loop** - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

4 **4.3 A - critique work**

Demonstrates ability to critique work using appropriate terms and concepts

Outcome(s)

- 1 Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

- 2 Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

- 3 **Please Enter The Following Information Below:**

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
Sophomore review Criterion #2 (presentation)	Out of 10 points –the median score for criterion #1 = 7.10	Bi-Annually (2014-15)

- 4 **Results** - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. *Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.

- 5

Action Plans - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic, change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional support/resources for students.

- 6 **Closing the Loop** - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

Faculty suspect it was a strong sophomore class - but will revisit these scores in Spring 2022 to see if the target is still appropriate.

5 **4.1 B - cultural context**

Demonstrates ability to identify, themes, historical time periods and cultural context of visual arts

Outcome(s)

- 1 Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

- 2 Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

- 3 **Please Enter The Following Information Below:**

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
ARTH 150 OR ARTH 151 -- Cultural context paper history paper	82% of students receive a 86 or above on paper	Every third year (2015-16)



-
- 4 **Results** - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. *Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.

 - 5 **Action Plans** - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic, change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional support/resources for students.

 - 6 **Closing the Loop** - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

6 **4.3 B - emerging technologies**
Applies emerging technologies to solving visual problems/projects

Outcome(s)

- 1 Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

- 2 Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

- 3 **Please Enter The Following Information Below:**

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
ART 112, 236, 237 – Create a signature assignment in each course	75 % of student makes 86	Every three years (2015-16)

- 4 **Results** - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. *Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.
- 5 **Action Plans** - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic, change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional support/resources for students.
- 6 **Closing the Loop** - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

Faculty will select one class and one assignment to review next year for ULO 4.

7 **4.5 - faith**

Displays an ability to articulate the relationship between faith and creative work.

Outcome(s)

- 1 Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

- 2 Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

- 3 **Please Enter The Following Information Below:**



Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
ART 493 – Faith Integration Paper	80% receive a 88 or above	Every other year (2015-16)

- 4 **Results** - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. *Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.

Art Seminar Integration Paper – 80% receive 88/100 or above

RESULTS = 19 out of 26 students (73%), of note is 3 students 0/100 (didn't turn in final paper).

DISCUSSION: Did not meet goal? When BFA students are broken out of the number 10/11 BFA students met target (91%). Although overall the total number of students did not meet the target, when the BFA students are looked at without the other BA students, the students are performing adequately in regards to the appropriate target.

- 5 **Action Plans** - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic, change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional support/resources for students.



No action plan - the BFA senior students are meeting the appropriate target for the faith integration paper in ART 493.

- 6 **Closing the Loop** - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

8 **4.1 A - foundational design skills**

Demonstrates and applies a basic knowledge of foundational design skills used for composing & evaluating visual arts.

Outcome(s)

- 1 Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

- 2 Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

- 3 **Please Enter The Following Information Below:**

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
Sophomore review Criterion #1 (art work)	Out of 10 points –the median score for criterion #1 = 14 pts	Biannually (2014-15)



-
- 4 **Results** - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. *Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.
 - 5 **Action Plans** - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic, change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional support/resources for students.
 - 6 **Closing the Loop** - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

In case the target is too generous, faculty will review this measure in Spring 2022.

9 **4.4 A - professional outlet**

Identify pathways to a professional outlet in their field.

Outcome(s)

- 1 Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

- 2 Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

- 3 **Please Enter The Following Information Below:**

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
ART 493 – Senior Seminar Interview paper	TBD	Every third year (2016-17)

- 4 **Results** - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. *Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.
- 5 **Action Plans** - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic, change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional support/resources for students.
- 6 **Closing the Loop** - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

Add a new measure as outlined above - For ULO 5 faculty discussed using the final "Art Historical Genealogy" as a measure. With a target 75% of the class will get a 85/100 or above. And we will assess this in Spring 2022.

10 **4.4 B - studio experience to professional activities**

Demonstrates the ability to relate studio experience to professional activities that are well-suited to their skill set.

Outcome(s)

- 1 Choose one or more accreditor outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

- 2 Choose one or more Institution outcome that aligns with your Program Learning Outcome.

There is no selected outcome.

- 3 **Please Enter The Following Information Below:**

Measures - Need to name specific course/ required experience plus exact exam items, assignments, rubric lines, etc. used for the analysis of performance on the PLO.

Targets - Percentage of students expected to earn a particular score on the measure.

Timeline - The frequency with which the department will analyze and report student performance on the PLO.

Measures	Targets	Timeline
ART 483, 485, 487 -- Presentation of senior proposals	TBD	Every other year (2014-15)

- 4 **Results** - Please enter numeric results, indicating the number and percentage of student performance meeting the target. Record faculty discussion about the strengths and weaknesses in student performance. *Please see the May Development section on the Assessment of Student Learning website for suggestions about how to process assessment results.

- 5 **Action Plans** - If student performance did not meet the target, identify specific improvement strategies to enact in the upcoming academic year. For example, add instruction on the topic, change an assignment, revise course requirements, revise objectives, identify additional support/resources for students.

- 6 **Closing the Loop** - If you entered action plans for the PLO last year, they will appear in the box below. Please explain what you did to accomplish the action plan this year, re-examine student performance, and determine the success of your action plan.

- 2 Holistic program improvement goals: Programs are expected to have at least one action plan to improve student learning annually. If you have not yet identified an action plan associated with this year's assessment results, or if the department has identified additional issues that require action plans, describe the specific,

Assessment Rubric

1

Process

	1	2	3	4
<p>Is the plan being implemented faithfully and revised as needed?</p>	<p></p> <p>Assessment plan is not implemented.</p>	<p></p> <p>Most aspects of plan are being implemented or all aspects are implemented to some degree.</p>	<p></p> <p>Assessment plan is fully implemented.</p>	<p></p> <p>Plan is faithfully executed and modified/evaluated as needed.</p>

2

Explanations:

3

Engagement

	1	2	3	4



	1	2	3	4
<p>Are all relevant parties are meaningfully involved in the creation/revision, implementation, analysis, interpretation and learning improvement process?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"></p> <p>Limited involvement beyond chair/director</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"></p> <p>All educators contributing to the curriculum are aware of process and results</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"></p> <p>All educators contributing to the curriculum participate in conversations regarding the use of assessment data to improve student learning</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"></p> <p>All relevant stakeholders (students, employers, alumni) are meaningfully involved in the creation/revision, implementation, analysis, interpretation, and/or improvement processes associated with this assessment plan.</p>

4 Explanations:

5 **Program Learning Objectives**

	1	2	3	4

	1	2	3	4
<p>Are the program learning objectives clear, measurable, aligned with ULOs/GLOs, and representative of the range of learning for that major/program?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"></p> <p>PLOs are problematic (vague, abstract, not aligned with ULOs/GLOs) or missing.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"></p> <p>PLOs are clear, mostly measurable, partially aligned with ULOs/GLOs.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"></p> <p>PLOs are clear, measurable, aligned with ULOs/GLOs, and represent a summary of the knowledge, skills, beliefs, and values that a graduate of this major/program should attain by completing the required curriculum, accounting for variations in learning outcomes due to tracks/concentrations</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"></p> <p>PLOs are clear, measurable, aligned with ULOs/GLOs, and representative of the range of learning students achieve through completion of the program. The learning objectives provide a comprehensive view of the knowledge, skills, beliefs, and values that are important for a graduate of this major/program and account for variations in learning outcomes due to tracks/concentrations.</p>

6 Explanations:

7 **Measures**

	1	2	3	4

	1	2	3	4
<p>Are the instruments used to assess learning relevant to the objective? Do measures yield information/data you can use to drive improvement?</p>	<p>○</p> <p>Not all objectives have a measure identified. OR Measures do not directly connect to the objectives.</p>	<p>○</p> <p>All objectives have at least one direct measure. Measures connect to learning objectives superficially or tangentially and/or include learning other than stated objectives. Relies almost exclusively on the same form of assessment (survey, exam, project). Relies almost exclusively on data from a single source (course, program, activity).</p>	<p>●</p> <p>All objectives have at least one direct measure. Some objectives have multiple measures. Measures clearly connect to learning objectives. And two of the following four criteria: Objective measures more than one point in time (formative). Indirect measure are used strategically. Plan Incorporates different forms of assessment (survey, exam, project). Plan incorporates from a variety of sources (course, program, activity).</p>	<p>○</p> <p>Measures meet all of the following criteria: All objectives have at least one direct measure. Some objectives have multiple measures. Measures clearly connect to learning objectives. Objectives measured more than one point in time (formative). Indirect measures are used strategically. Plan incorporates different forms of assessment (survey, exam, project). Plan incorporates data from a variety of sources (course, program, activity).</p>

8 Explanations:

9 **Targets**

	1	2	3	4

	1	2	3	4
<p>Are the targets based on professional standards and/or analysis of past student work? Are targets challenging and achievable?</p>	<p></p> <p>Some targets are missing.</p>	<p></p> <p>Targets are arbitrarily chosen or reflect minimal expectations.</p>	<p></p> <p>Targets are challenging and achievable based on prior student performance, and reflect an appropriate level of performance.</p>	<p></p> <p>Targets are challenging and achievable. Targets are based on professional standards and/or prior student performance. Targets are set at a level to inspire program improvement.</p>

10 Explanations:

11 **Timeline**

	1	2	3	4
<p>Is the timeline for data collection manageable with sufficient data points to effectively inform decision making and program review?</p>	<p></p> <p>Not identified clearly for all measures.</p>	<p></p> <p>Clearly states semester/year for each objective/measure. Data analysis delayed from data collection. Time between collection points may not facilitate informed decision making.</p>	<p></p> <p>Clearly stated and manageable schedule. At least two data points for each objective per review cycle.</p>	<p></p> <p>Timeline for data collection is manageable and allows for continuous improvement with timely and meaningful decision making even before program review.</p>

12 Explanations:

Action Plan

	1	2	3	4
<p>Is the department effectively examining and using assessment data to revise curriculum and pedagogy to support student learning?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"></p> <p>Assessment data not collected/analyzed/used for decisions and/or results not documented in AEFIS.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"></p> <p>Data collected, documented and discussed by department. Department reviewed confidence in measures and data as sufficient indicators of student performance. If data indicated changes were needed, action plans were developed in consultation with dean (e.g. improving outcomes, measures, targets, curriculum or pedagogy).</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"></p> <p>Data collected, documented and discussed by department. Department and dean confirmed confidence in measures and data as sufficient indicators of student performance. Action plans (e.g. improving outcomes, measures, targets, curriculum or pedagogy) developed in consultation with dean. If prior year data warranted action plans, the department implemented the changes.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"></p> <p>Department collected and discussed follow-up data after the implementation of action plans in order to determine whether changes resulted in improvement or whether additional action is necessary. Data confirms effective curriculum and pedagogy for learning outcomes. Score of 4 should be assigned only if objectives, measures, targets and timeline all score a 4.</p>

14 Explanations:

15 **Dissemination**

	1	2	3	4

	1	2	3	4
<p>Is the department communicating learning objectives, results and improvements related to student learning to a wide audience?</p>	<p><input type="radio"/></p> <p>No record of assessment results and changes made as a result of assessment findings.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/></p> <p>The department/program retains records of assessment results and positive changes made as a result of assessment findings, and results are entered in assessment software system.</p>	<p><input type="radio"/></p> <p>The department/program retains records of assessment results and changes made as a result of assessment findings, results are entered in assessment software system, and assessment results and improvements are publicly posted.</p>	<p><input type="radio"/></p> <p>The department/program retains records of assessment results and changes made as a result of assessment findings, and results are entered in assessment software system. Assessment results and improvements are publicly posted and shared proactively with faculty, prospective students, employers and alumni in ways that facilitate their discussion.</p>

16 Explanations:

Additional Feedback

1 Please enter any additional feedback for changes that should be made:

CLOSE AND EXIT