

Standard #6 - Organizational Performance Results, Table 6.1

Organizational Effectiveness Results Organizational effectiveness results examine attainment of organizational goals. Each business unit must have a systematic reporting mechanism for each business program that charts enrollment patterns, student retention, student academic success, and other characteristics reflecting students' performance. Key indicators may include: graduation rates, enrollment, improvement in safety, hiring equity, increased use of web-based technologies, use of facilities by community organizations, contributions to the community, or partnerships, retention rates by program, and what you report to governing boards and administrative units.

		Analysis of Results																			
Performance Measure	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)																
Department Retention	Registrar's office/Institutional Research (annually) -- note data for previous years has changed slightly due to now correctly accounting for students who changed majors during the year	2015 86.7%	Our retention is very high, but seems to vary based on the admissions strategy that took place the previous year. In years of higher retention, we are also about 5% higher than the College as a whole, and in years where our retention is in the 85% range, it is lower than the college as a whole. In other words, our prospective and admitted students are more affected by the admissions approach.	Discuss with admissions staff pointing out the value in more consistency; work with first year students to improve success.	<p align="center">First Year Fall to Fall Retention</p> <table border="1"> <caption>First Year Fall to Fall Retention Data</caption> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Retention Rate</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Entering Fall 2010</td> <td>91.70%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Entering Fall 2011</td> <td>84.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Entering Fall 2012</td> <td>95.10%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Entering Fall 2013</td> <td>83.30%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Entering Fall 2014</td> <td>93.30%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Entering Fall 2015</td> <td>86.70%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Year	Retention Rate	Entering Fall 2010	91.70%	Entering Fall 2011	84.00%	Entering Fall 2012	95.10%	Entering Fall 2013	83.30%	Entering Fall 2014	93.30%	Entering Fall 2015	86.70%		
Year	Retention Rate																				
Entering Fall 2010	91.70%																				
Entering Fall 2011	84.00%																				
Entering Fall 2012	95.10%																				
Entering Fall 2013	83.30%																				
Entering Fall 2014	93.30%																				
Entering Fall 2015	86.70%																				
Department Enrollment (Goal=Increase Incoming First Year by 10%)	Institutional Research Fact Book (annually)	72 first year students	Significant increase over 2015. Well-designed open house presentations (which we control). 2014 reflected a new recruitment model College-wide.	Maintain/improve admissions counselor awareness of characteristics of each major (e.g., economic development as a discipline that should attract students but not if students don't know about it; ongoing awareness of CPA pass rate) in addition as dept as a whole. New finance major will increase enrollment; consider marketing of new programs specifically.	<p align="center">Incoming First Year Enrollment</p> <table border="1"> <caption>Incoming First Year Enrollment Data</caption> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Enrollment</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2010</td> <td>48</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011</td> <td>50</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2012</td> <td>61</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2013</td> <td>60</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2014</td> <td>80</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2015</td> <td>63</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2016</td> <td>72</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Year	Enrollment	2010	48	2011	50	2012	61	2013	60	2014	80	2015	63	2016	72
Year	Enrollment																				
2010	48																				
2011	50																				
2012	61																				
2013	60																				
2014	80																				
2015	63																				
2016	72																				

Performance Measure	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)																
Classroom Occupancy (Goal = 85%)	Enrollment reported in Classroom and Load Management System (annually)	83%	New faculty transitions required some less optimal scheduling.	Monitor number of sections required for core courses; monitor number of elective courses offered. Some courses can be offered on an alternating schedule (every semester in one year and just one semester in the following year). Communicate to advisees when courses will be offered. Develop 8-semester plans for all majors.	<p style="text-align: center;">Percent Occupancy</p> <table border="1"> <caption>Percent Occupancy Data</caption> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Percent Occupancy</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2010-11</td> <td>85%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011-12</td> <td>85%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2012-13</td> <td>84%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2013-14</td> <td>88%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2014-15</td> <td>87%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2015-16</td> <td>83%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Year	Percent Occupancy	2010-11	85%	2011-12	85%	2012-13	84%	2013-14	88%	2014-15	87%	2015-16	83%		
Year	Percent Occupancy																				
2010-11	85%																				
2011-12	85%																				
2012-13	84%																				
2013-14	88%																				
2014-15	87%																				
2015-16	83%																				
Ratio of Full-time to Part-Time (Goal 75-80%)	Class Schedule (annually)	87%	After many years, all of our full time positions are filled, requiring fewer adjuncts.	We are now about the goal range. The plan is for the department enrollment to grow, thus needing more class sections which will require some increased adjunct use. In addition we will be using fewer full time faculty from other departments. Finally, current faculty will be encouraged to participate in Messiah's interdisciplinary studies courses.	<p style="text-align: center;">Ratio FT to PT</p> <table border="1"> <caption>Ratio FT to PT Data</caption> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Ratio FT to PT</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2010-11</td> <td>81%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011-12</td> <td>78%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2012-13</td> <td>70%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2013-14</td> <td>67%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2014-15</td> <td>73%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2015-16</td> <td>77%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2016-17</td> <td>87%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Year	Ratio FT to PT	2010-11	81%	2011-12	78%	2012-13	70%	2013-14	67%	2014-15	73%	2015-16	77%	2016-17	87%
Year	Ratio FT to PT																				
2010-11	81%																				
2011-12	78%																				
2012-13	70%																				
2013-14	67%																				
2014-15	73%																				
2015-16	77%																				
2016-17	87%																				